## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

No. 08-CR-1324-LRR

VS.

AGRIPROCESSORS, INC., SHOLOM RUBASHKIN, BRENT BEEBE, HOSAM AMARA and ZEEV LEVI,

Defendants.

**ORDER** 

Defendant Sholom Rubashkin's "Motion to Sever Counts 1-11, 12, 13-79, & 80-99" ("Motion") (docket no. 213) is **DENIED WITH LEAVE TO REFILE**. After Defendant filed the Motion, the grand jury returned the Fifth Superseding Indictment (docket no. 413). The Fifth Superseding Indictment is arguably substantially different than the Fourth Superseding Indictment (docket no. 177), which Defendant sought to sever.

Any party seeking severance of counts within the Fifth Superseding Indictment must file an appropriate motion on or before April 10, 2009. Resistances are due on or before April 20, 2009. Parties filing motions or resistances are directed to indicate in their briefs the estimated length of trial were the court to hold a single, unified proceeding, as well as the estimated lengths of the proposed trials on the severed counts. *See*, *e.g.*, *United States v. Casamento*, 887 F.2d 1141, 1151-53 (2d Cir. 1989) (discussing pitfalls of so-called "mega-trials" upon the administration of justice); *United States v. Gallo*, 668 F. Supp. 736, 754-76 (E.D.N.Y. 1987) (similar); Fed. R. Crim. P. 2 ("These rules are to be interpreted to provide for the just determination of every criminal proceeding, to secure simplicity in procedure and fairness in administration, and to eliminate unjustifiable expense and delay.")

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

**DATED** this 1st day of April, 2009.

LINDA R. READE

CHIEF JUDGE, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA